

May 20-21, 2019 New York, NY



Proprietary Reverse Mortgage Securitization Trends

Moderator: Mike McCully, New View Advisors, Naples, FL

Presenters:

- Timothy J. Geraghty, Waterfall Asset Management, New York
- Michael Kelly, Performance Trust Capital Partners, Greenwich, CT
- Phil Theodoropoulos, Nomura Securities International, Inc., New York

Proprietary Loan Securitization Basics

- Bond cash flow comes from loan payoffs
 - Maturity Events include death, moveout, refinance
- Loan level cash flow modeling required to anticipate multiple variables and scenarios
- Realized loss occurs when loan balance exceeds property value, net of disposition costs
 - Underlying property and timeline for resolution determines magnitude of loss
- Overcollateralization from build-up of excess spread sized to protect bondholders



Structural Issues and Solutions

Issue	Problem	Solution	
Home Price Decline	Crossover loss	Subordination, low LTVs, excess spread builds O/C	
Sequential Pay Gaps	Whipsaw / fast then slow prepays	Always pay available PDA to Senior Class	
Large Loan Impact	Lumpy prepays and crossover loss	Restrict loan size / Haircut loans	
Foreclosure Severity	Non-SFR property type	Haircut non-SFR LTVs	
Litigation	Non-borrowing spouse; Contingent interest	No NBS or contingent interest allowed	
Liquidity	Slow initial prepays; potential interest shortfall	Reserve fund equal to first 6-12 months' interest	
Investor Reporting	Unrelated legacy servicing	Credit risk management/Servicer oversight	



Proprietary Loan History

- \$7+ billion of non-Agency loans originated life-to-date
- 8 securitizations for \$3.5 billion
 - Additional \$4+ billion of proprietary loans not securitized
 - Approx. \$1 billion current outstanding balance

Trust	Original Balance	Current Balance
SASCO 1999-RM1	\$317,000,000	Paid off 6/14
SASCO 2002-RM1	\$291,000,000	Paid off 1/14
SASCO 2005-RM1	\$504,000,000	Paid off 5/16
SASCO 2006-RM1	\$599,000,000	Paid off 2/19
SASCO 2007-RM1	\$701,000,000	\$263,000,000
SHAP 2013-RM1	\$193,000,000	Paid off 5/18
TMFT 2017-RJ1	\$275,000,000	\$200,000,000 est.
CFMT 2018-RM2	\$573,000,000	\$560,000,000



Proprietary Loan Investor Performance

- Proprietary loans are paying at 15-16% CPR
 - Trending up in the past 12 months
 - This remains below the 18% historical average
- Many of the SASCO bonds were downgraded but...
 - The securities have all withstood the original stress test of 30%+ immediate drop in home prices
 - The downgrades occurred primarily as a result of the rating agencies recalibrating their home price assumptions *down another* 30%
- There have been no realized losses or writedowns of bonds



Proprietary Product Current State

- Product development driven by investor requirements, not borrower demand
 - Early HECMs had Fannie Mae to thank
 - Ginnie Mae created new investor demand with HMBS
- Risk/return analysis is key to success
 - Proprietary product is self-insured
 - Structure must withstand losses yet still provide meaningful returns
 - Long gestation period another factor
- With bond pricing validation comes product improvement
- Five reverse mortgage lenders now offering proprietary product alternatives to HECM



Proprietary Becomes Competitive

- As HECM Principal Limits are reduced, the home value at which self-insured proprietary products become competitive drops
- A reduction in the HECM Maximum Claim Amount would lower indifference points further

Borro wer Age	MCA	Mid 2000s Indiff Point	2014 HECM Indiff Point	2017 HECM Indiff Point
62 - 90+	\$726,525		\$1.5mm-\$0.9mm	\$1mm-\$0.6mm
62 - 90+	\$417,000		\$1mm-\$0.6mm	\$0.7mm-\$0.4mm
62 - 90+	\$217,000	\$0.5mm-\$0.3mm		

